

## A Two-Compartment Vertical Channel Cell for Electrowinning Studies

P.A. Adcock<sup>1</sup>, S.B. Adeloju<sup>1</sup>, L.J. Power<sup>2</sup> and O.M.G. Newman<sup>2</sup>

1. Centre for Electrochemical Research and Analytical Technology, University of Western Sydney  
Locked Bag 1797  
Penrith South DC, NSW 1797 Australia

2. Pasmenco Smelter Technical Support  
PO Box 175, Boolaroo, NSW 2284 Australia

As part of the research and development work for a new zinc electrowinning process in the presence of iron(II) [1], a relatively small cell with controlled hydrodynamics and a planar cathode surface was required. For these studies, a vertical channel cell configuration was chosen. A feature of this cell necessitated by the process chemistry was division into two compartments. A microporous membrane was used as separator.

A prototype cell was designed and constructed. The cathode chamber channel had a flow establishment channel of identical cross-section, 62 cm high immediately below it. Cathode chambers were made for cathodes of 4 different heights, to determine how small the cathode could be made, and still achieve reliable, scalable results. The position of the bottom of the cathode remained the same whichever size cathode and matching chamber were used. The cathode dimensions are shown in Table 1.

A sturdy stand (of stainless steel) was constructed for the cell and its associated instrumentation, pumps and reservoirs for the catholyte and anolyte solutions. The instrumentation included magnetic flowmeters, heaters, resistance thermal detectors, and a differential pressure cell, backed up by a manometer. Electrical instrumentation, such as shunts, process monitors, power supply, voltmeters, a datataker and a computer, were mounted either on an adjacent bench or the wall behind the stand.

Once commissioned, the cell was first calibrated against a well-known process with a minimum number of variables. We chose an additive-free conventional zinc electrolyte operating under conditions published by Asturiana de Zinc [2,3] for their flagship electrolytic plant in Spain. This is the only commercial zinc electrowinning operation of which we are aware, which does not use any organic cell additives.

The calibration tests showed that as the cathode size was decreased, the observed value of Wark's constant [4-7] in a test of 80 minutes duration fell away from the value for full scale plant cells operated on a 40 hour stripping cycle. In addition, the deposit morphology became non-uniform, and apparently greatly affected by edge effects. However, the 200 cm<sup>2</sup> cathode gave acceptable uniformity of the morphology over its whole length, and a Wark's constant value indistinguishable from that calculated from data reported by Asturiana [3].

Following calibration, the 200 cm<sup>2</sup> cathode only was used to conduct tests on the effects of hydrodynamics

on zinc electrowinning from zinc electrolytes containing iron(II). The sequential addition of antimony and gelatin were tested on an electrolyte already containing lead. The paper presents the results and compares them with data obtained in small scale cells without controlled hydrodynamics. Advantages of the vertical channel cell over small laboratory cells alone are highlighted.

### References

1. P.A. Adcock, E.G. Roche, S.B. Adeloju and O.M.G. Newman, "Zinc electrowinning in the presence of iron". Presented at the 11th Australasian Electrochemistry Conference, Electrochemistry Division of RACI, Parramatta, Australia (Dec 2000). Abstract Booklet page 97.
2. F. Tamargo and Y. Lefevre, "Concept and operation of the new conventional cell house of Asturiana de Zinc at San Juan de Nieva, Spain", *World Zinc '93*, (I.G. Matthew, editor), AusIMM, Melbourne (1993), 295-300.
3. Y. Lefevre and M.R. Pieterse, "The state of the art and constant feasibility upgrading of the zinc electrowinning cellhouse of Asturiana de Zinc at San Juan de Nieva, Spain", *Zinc and Lead Processing*, (J.E. Dutrizac, J.A. Gonzalez, G.L. Bolton and P. Hancock, editors), The Metallurgical Society of CIM (1998), 367-377.
4. G.C. Bratt, "A view of zinc electrowinning theory", *Proc. AusIMM Conf. Tasmania*, AusIMM, Melbourne (1977), 277-290.
5. I.W. Wark, "Influence of cobalt in the electrodeposition of zinc", *Proc. First Australian Conference on Electrochemistry*, (J.A. Friend and F. Gutman, editors), Pergamon Press, London (1965), 889-900.
6. I.W. Wark, "The electrodeposition of zinc from acidified zinc sulphate solution", *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*, 9 (1979) 721-730.
7. P.A. Adcock and S.B. Adeloju, "Wark's rule of zinc electrodeposition revisited", *Electrochemistry: Crossing the Boundaries*, (D.M. Druskovich, editor), RACI, (1997) 1-13.

Table 1. Dimensions of Cathodes

Area cm <sup>2</sup>	Height mm	Width mm
200	312.5	64.0
100	156.2	64.0
50	78.1	64.0
16	25.0	64.0